

9	United States of America,)	No. 08-7086M
10	Plaintiff,)	
11	vs.)	
12	Fredy Neptali Matute-Munguia,)	ORDER
13	Defendant.)	
14)	

3. The savings in time by expediting the proceedings in appropriate cases serves the public interest by reducing the costs of detention, prosecution and defense as well as in bringing such charges to a prompt resolution.

1 4. The avoidance of grand jury proceedings with the voluntary consent of a defendant,
2 when appropriate, reduces the number of proceedings required to bring a criminal matter to a
3 prompt resolution.

4 5. An early decision by a defendant to reach a plea agreement with the Government
5 often avoids the need to present the matter to a grand jury.

6 6. In order to allow the Defendant's attorney an opportunity to investigate possible
7 defenses to a charge in a criminal complaint and to adequately counsel the Defendant, additional
8 time is often needed for the filing of an indictment or information beyond the thirty (30) day
9 period provided in the Speedy Trial Act. The Government's standard offer requires the
10 Defendant to waive his right to grand jury proceedings and agree to proceed by the filing of an
11 information. If the Defendant does not agree to this procedure within the time allowed by
12 statute, the Government would withdraw the plea offer.

13 7. Disposition of immigration cases through the pre-indictment plea offer results in an
14 expeditious resolution of the case. Granting a thirty (30) day continuance allows defense
15 counsel time to assure that the plea offer is in the Defendant's best interest.

16 The Court therefore concludes that the ends of justice are best served by granting an
17 extension of time to present the case to the grand jury and in excluding a period of thirty (30)
18 days under the Speedy Trial Act. In making this determination, the Court has particularly taken
19 into account that the failure to grant the Defendant's request "would deny counsel for the
20 defendant. . .the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the
21 exercise of due diligence." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

22 **IT IS ORDERED** granting Defendant's Motion to Extend Time to Indict (Doc. #7).

23 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that excludable time shall begin to run on the 31st day
24 after arrest for a period of thirty (30) days in which the Government may present the case to the
25 grand jury.

26 DATED this 3rd day of April, 2008.



David K. Duncan
United States Magistrate Judge